

GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS OF THE HILBERT SCHEME OF 3-POINTS ON $\mathbb{P}^2$

DAN EDIDIN¹, WEI-PING LI², AND ZHENBO QIN³

ABSTRACT. Using obstruction bundles, composition law and localization formula, we compute certain 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert scheme of 3-points on the complex projective plane. Our results partially verify Ruan's conjecture about quantum corrections for this Hilbert scheme.

1. Introduction

Motivated by the pioneering work of Nakajima and Grojnowski [Nak, Gro], there have been intensive studies of the cohomology ring structure of the Hilbert schemes of points on a smooth algebraic surface (e.g. [Leh, L-S, LQW1, LQW2, LQW3, Q-W, Go2]). While our understanding of this ordinary cohomology ring structure has deepened rapidly, the quantum cohomology ring structure of these Hilbert schemes remains to be a mystery. A limited progress to the quantum cohomology ring structure has been made in [L-Q] where certain 1-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants of these Hilbert schemes have been determined. These 1-point invariants come from the contributions of curves contracted by the Hilbert-Chow map from the Hilbert schemes to the symmetric products of the surface.

In this paper, we study 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert scheme $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ of 3-points on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. Again, we are primarily interested in those invariants which come from the contributions of curves contracted by the Hilbert-Chow map (2.8). These curves are homologous to $d\beta_3$ for some positive integer d , where $\beta_3 \subset (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ is the rational curve defined by

$$\beta_3 = \{\xi + x_2 \mid \ell(\xi) = 2, \text{ Supp}(\xi) = x_1\}$$

with x_1 and x_2 being two fixed distinct points of the projective plane $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.

To state our main results, we introduce some notations. Let $H^*(X^{[3]})$ and $H_*(X^{[3]})$ be the cohomology and homology of $X^{[3]}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients. For $i = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$, a linear basis $\mathfrak{B}_i$ of $H_i(X^{[3]})$ in terms of the Heisenberg operators introduced in [Nak, Gro] can be determined (see Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.4 for details). For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in H^*(X^{[3]})$, we use $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle_{0,d}$ to stand for the k -point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle_{0,d\beta_3}$. Now the 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle_{0,d}$ of $X^{[3]}$ are reduced either to the 2-point

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C05; Secondary 14N35.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert scheme, Gromov-Witten invariants.

¹Partially supported by an NSF grant and an NSA grant.

²Partially supported by the grant HKUST6170/99P.

³Partially supported by an NSF grant and a University of Missouri Research Board grant.

invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ with $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_6$ and $A_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$, or to the 3-point invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ with $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$. Here PD denotes the Poincaré duality. Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\mathfrak{B}_6$ and $\mathfrak{B}_8$ be defined in Definition 2.4. Let $d \geq 1$, $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_6$ and $A_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$. Let x, ℓ be a point and a line in X respectively. Then, $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ is zero unless the pair (A_1, A_2) is one of the following:*

- (i) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (ii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (iii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle)$.

Moreover, $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 12/d$ in cases (i) and (ii).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\mathfrak{B}_8$ be defined in Definition 2.4. Let $\ell \subset X$ be a line. Let $d \geq 1$, $f(d) = d \langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$, and $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$. Then, the 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ is zero unless the unordered triple (A_1, A_2, A_3) is one of the following:*

- (i) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (ii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (iii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (iv) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle)$.

Moreover, $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} = -24$ for case (i); for cases (ii) and (iii), $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} = -2f(d)$; for case (iv),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} \\ &= -162 - 15f(d) + 6 \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1)f(d - d_1). \end{aligned}$$

These two theorems are proved by using obstruction bundles and composition laws in Sect. 3, which generalizes the earlier methods in [L-Q]. In view of our theorems, to compute all the 3-point invariants $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle_{0,d}$ of $X^{[3]}$, it remains to determine the 2-point invariant $\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$. In Sect.4, using the standard $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -action on $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and the virtual localization formula from [G-P], we reduce the computation of $\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$ to a summation over stable graphs. Even though we could not simplify this summation for a general d , we are able to calculate the summation for $d \leq 4$ by employing Mathematica. This enables us to prove the following.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\ell \subset X$ be a line. Then, the 2-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$ is equal to -27 , $27/2$, 18 and $27/4$ when d is equal to 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively.*

One of our motivations for this present work is to verify Ruan's conjecture in [Ru2] about the quantum corrections for crepant resolutions of orbifolds. The symmetric products of a smooth projective surface are global orbifolds. The Hilbert-Chow map (2.8) presents the Hilbert schemes of points on a smooth projective surface as crepant resolutions of the symmetric products of the surface. For the Hilbert scheme $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$, our results enable us to verify Ruan's conjecture for those

quantum corrections not involving $\langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$. Since the verification involves only straight-forward computations, we omit the details.

Finally, we remark that our methods can be extended in several directions. First of all, they can be used to compute many 3-point Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert scheme $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ for a general n . Secondly, our methods of proving Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be easily modified to work for an arbitrary simply connected projective surface X . In addition, the ideas of proving Proposition 1.3 can be applied to other toric surfaces. We leave the details to the interested readers.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Y. Ruan for stimulating discussions. The third author also thanks Hong Kong UST for its warm hospitality and support.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants.

Let Y be a smooth projective variety. A k -pointed *stable map* to Y consists of a complete nodal curve C with k distinct ordered smooth points $p_1, \dots, p_k$ and a morphism $\mu : C \rightarrow Y$ such that the data $(\mu, C, p_1, \dots, p_k)$ has only finitely many automorphisms. In this case, the stable map is denoted by $[\mu : (C; p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow Y]$. For a fixed homology class $\beta \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ be the stack parameterizing all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow Y]$ such that $\mu_*[C] = \beta$ and the arithmetic genus of C is g . It is known [F-P, LT1, LT2, B-F] that $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ is a complete Deligne-Mumford stack with a projective moduli space. Moreover, it has a virtual fundamental class $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\mathfrak{d}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta))$ where

$$\mathfrak{d} = -(K_Y \cdot \beta) + (\dim(Y) - 3)(1 - g) + k \quad (2.1)$$

is the expected complex dimension of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$, and $A_{\mathfrak{d}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta))$ is the Chow group of $\mathfrak{d}$ -dimensional cycles in the stack $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$. The evaluation map

$$ev_k : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta) \rightarrow Y^k \quad (2.2)$$

is defined by $ev_k([\mu : (C; p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow Y]) = (\mu(p_1), \dots, \mu(p_k))$.

The Gromov-Witten invariants are defined by using the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$. Recall that an element $\alpha \in H^*(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)} H^j(Y)$ is *homogeneous* if $\alpha \in H^j(Y)$ for some j ; in this case, we take $|\alpha| = j$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in H^*(Y)$ such that every α_i is homogeneous and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| = 2\mathfrak{d}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, we have the k -point Gromov-Witten invariant defined by:

$$\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle_{g,\beta} = \int_{[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} ev_k^*(\alpha_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_k). \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we summarize certain properties concerning the virtual fundamental class. To begin with, we recall that *the excess dimension* is the difference between the

dimension of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ and the expected dimension $\mathfrak{d}$ in (2.1). Let T_Y stand for the tangent bundle of Y . For $0 \leq i < k$, we shall use

$$f_{k,i} : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,i}(Y, \beta) \quad (2.5)$$

to stand for the forgetful map obtained by forgetting the last $(k-i)$ marked points and contracting all the unstable components. It is known that $f_{k,i}$ is flat when $\beta \neq 0$ and $0 \leq i < k$. The following can be found in [LT1, Beh, Get, C-K, LiJ].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\beta \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Let e be the excess dimension of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$, and $\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ be a closed substack. Then,*

- (i) $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} = (f_{k,0})^*[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,0}(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}};$
- (ii) $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}|_{\mathfrak{M}} = c_e((R^1(f_{k+1,k})_*(ev_{k+1})^*T_Y)|_{\mathfrak{M}})$ if there exists an open substack $\mathfrak{U}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(Y, \beta)$ such that $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{U}$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{U}$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathfrak{M}$) and $(R^1(f_{k+1,k})_*(ev_{k+1})^*T_Y)|_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a rank- e locally free sheaf over $\mathfrak{U}$.

We also need one formula for $g = 0$ known as the composition law. Let $\{\Delta_a\}$ be a basis of $H^*(Y)$, and $\{\Delta^a\}$ be the basis of $H^*(Y)$ dual to $\{\Delta_a\}$ with respect to the intersection pairing of Y . Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in H^*(Y)$ be classes of even degrees. Then the combination of (3.3) and (3.6) in [K-M] says that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,\beta} + \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,\beta} \\ & + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0} \sum_a \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \Delta_a \rangle_{0,\beta_1} \langle \Delta^a, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,\beta_2} \\ = & \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,\beta} + \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,\beta} \\ & + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0} \sum_a \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \Delta_a \rangle_{0,\beta_1} \langle \Delta^a, \alpha_2, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,\beta_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

2.2. Basic facts about the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface.

Let X be a simply connected smooth projective surface, and $X^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of points in X . An element in $X^{[n]}$ is represented by a length- n 0-dimensional closed subscheme ξ of X . For $\xi \in X^{[n]}$, let I_ξ be the corresponding sheaf of ideals. In $X^{[n]} \times X$, we have the universal codimension-2 subscheme:

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \{(\xi, x) \in X^{[n]} \times X \mid x \in \text{Supp}(\xi)\} \subset X^{[n]} \times X. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $X^{(n)}$ be the n -th symmetric product of X . We have the Hilbert-Chow map:

$$\rho : X^{[n]} \rightarrow X^{(n)}. \quad (2.8)$$

For a subset $Y \subset X$, we define the subset $M_n(Y)$ in the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$:

$$M_n(Y) = \{\xi \in X^{[n]} \mid \text{Supp}(\xi) \text{ is a point in } Y\} \subset X^{[n]}. \quad (2.9)$$

In particular, for a fixed point $x \in X$, $M_n(x)$ is just the punctual Hilbert scheme of points on X at x . It is known that the punctual Hilbert schemes $M_n(x)$ are isomorphic for all the surfaces X and all the points $x \in X$.

Let $\xi \in X^{[n-k]}$ and $\eta \in X^{[k]}$. If $\text{Supp}(\xi) \cap \text{Supp}(\eta) = \emptyset$, then we use $\xi + \eta$ to represent the closed subscheme $\xi \cup \eta$ in $X^{[n]}$. Similarly, given a subvariety Y of

$X^{[n-k]}$ and a point $\eta \in X^{[k]}$ such that $\left(\bigcup_{\xi \in Y} \text{Supp}(\xi)\right) \cap \text{Supp}(\eta) = \emptyset$, we use $Y + \eta$

to represent the subvariety in $X^{[n]}$ consisting of all the points $\xi + \eta$ with $\xi \in Y$.

Next, we review some results on homology groups of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ due to Göttsche [Go1], Grojnowski [Gro], and Nakajima [Nak]. Their results say that the space $\mathbb{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{4n} H_k(X^{[n]})$ is an irreducible highest weight representation

of the Heisenberg algebra generated by $\mathbf{a}_{-n}(a), n \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in H_*(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{k=0}^4 H_k(X)$.

Moreover, $|0\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 \in H_0(X^{[0]}; \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ is a highest weight vector. It follows that the space $\mathbb{H}$ is a linear span of elements of the form $\mathbf{a}_{-n_1}(a_1) \dots \mathbf{a}_{-n_k}(a_k)|0\rangle$ where $k \geq 0$, $n_1, \dots, n_k > 0$, and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in H_*(X)$. The geometric interpretation of $\mathbf{a}_{-n_1}(a_1) \dots \mathbf{a}_{-n_k}(a_k)|0\rangle$ for homogeneous classes $a_1, \dots, a_k \in H_*(X)$ can be understood as follows. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $a_i \in H_{|a_i|}(X)$ be represented by a cycle X_i such that $X_1, \dots, X_k$ are in general position. Then,

$$\mathbf{a}_{-n_1}(a_1) \dots \mathbf{a}_{-n_k}(a_k)|0\rangle \in H_m(X^{[n]}) \quad (2.10)$$

where $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ and $m = \sum_{i=1}^k (2n_i - 2 + |a_i|)$. Up to a scalar, $\mathbf{a}_{-n_1}(a_1) \dots \mathbf{a}_{-n_k}(a_k)|0\rangle$

is represented by the closure of the real- $\sum_{i=1}^k (2n_i - 2 + |a_i|)$ -dimensional subset:

$$\{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k \in X^{[n]} | \xi_i \in M_{n_i}(X_i), \text{Supp}(\xi_i) \cap \text{Supp}(\xi_j) = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j\} \quad (2.11)$$

where $M_{n_i}(X_i)$ is the subset of $X^{[n_i]}$ defined by (2.9).

Definition 2.2. Let $x \in X$, and C be a real-2-dimensional submanifolds of X . Then, we define $\beta_n = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)^{n-2}|0\rangle$, $\beta_C = \mathbf{a}_{-1}(C)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)^{n-1}|0\rangle$, and

$$B_n = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^{n-2}|0\rangle, \quad D_C = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mathbf{a}_{-1}(C)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^{n-1}|0\rangle.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let x and ℓ be a point and a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ respectively. Then,

- (i) a basis of $H_2(X^{[3]}; \mathbb{Z})$ consists of β_3 and β_ℓ ;
- (ii) a basis of $H_4(X^{[3]})$ consists of the five homology classes $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)^2|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle$, and $\mathbf{a}_{-3}(x)|0\rangle$;
- (iii) a basis of $H_6(X^{[3]})$ consists of the classes $\mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle$, and $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle$;
- (iv) a basis of $H_8(X^{[3]})$ consists of the five classes $\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle$, and $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$;
- (v) a basis of $H_{10}(X^{[3]}; \mathbb{Z})$ consists of the divisors B_3 and D_ℓ .

Proof. The proof of (i) and (v) was contained in the proof of the Theorem 4.1 in [LQZ], while the rest statements follow by exploiting (2.10). $\square$

Definition 2.4. For $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $i = 2, 4, 6, 8$ and 10 , let $\mathfrak{B}_i$ stand for the linear basis of the homology group $H_i(X^{[3]})$ given in Lemma 2.3.

Fix $p \in X^{[3]}$. Then a basis $\{\Delta_a\}$ of $H^*(X^{[3]})$ is given by the Poincaré duals of

$$[p], \mathfrak{B}_i (i = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10), [X^{[3]}] \quad (2.12)$$

where $[p] = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)^3|0\rangle \in H_0(X^{[3]})$ and $[X^{[3]}] = 1/6 \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^3|0\rangle \in H_{12}(X^{[3]})$ are the homology classes corresponding to p and $X^{[3]}$ respectively.

The following is the main result proved in [L-Q].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $d \geq 1$, and x and ℓ be a point and a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ respectively.*

(i) *If α stands for the Poincaré duals of the homology classes $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)^2|0\rangle$, $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle$, and $\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(x)|0\rangle$, then $\langle\alpha\rangle_{0,d\beta_n} = 0$.*

(ii) *If α is the Poincaré dual of $\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, then $\langle\alpha\rangle_{0,d\beta_n} = 2(K_X \cdot \ell)/d^2$.*

2.3. Curves from the punctual Hilbert scheme.

Lemma 2.6. *Fix $n \geq 2$. Let $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be the punctual Hilbert scheme of points on $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin, and u, v be the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then, $H_2(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, a generator of $H_2(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0); \mathbb{Z})$ is given by*

$$\sigma_n = \{(\lambda u + \mu v^{n-1}, u^2, uv, v^n) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0\}. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. The first statement was proved in [E-S]. To prove the second statement, following [E-S], take a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by $t \cdot (u, v) = (t^{-\alpha}u, t^{-\beta}v)$ with $\beta \gg \alpha$. For $\xi \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2; 0)$, we use the ideal $I_\xi \subset \mathbb{C}[u, v]$ to represent ξ . Then the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant ideal in $\mathbb{C}[u, v]$ corresponding to a generator σ_n of $H_2(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0); \mathbb{Z})$ is (v^{n-1}, uv, u^2) . Therefore σ_n is the closure of the cell

$$\begin{aligned} & \{I \in \mathbb{C}[u, v] \mid \ell(\mathbb{C}[u, v]/I) = n, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(t \cdot I) = (v^{n-1}, uv, u^2)\} \\ &= \{(v^{n-1} + au, uv, u^2) \mid a \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that if $a \neq 0$, then $(v^{n-1} + au, uv, u^2) = (v^{n-1} + au, v^n)$. So letting $a \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the ideal (u, v^n) is also contained in σ_n . Thus,

$$\sigma_n = \{(v^{n-1} + au, uv, u^2) \mid a \in \mathbb{C}\} \cup \{(u, v^n)\}$$

which is the same as $\{(\lambda u + \mu v^{n-1}, u^2, uv, v^n) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0\}$. $\square$

Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0}$ be the local ring of $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin, and $\mathfrak{m} = (u, v)$ be the maximal ideal of R . Let $\eta \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. It is known that there exists an embedding

$$\tau : \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow \text{Grass}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, n)$$

where $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ is considered as a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension $\binom{n+1}{2}$, and τ maps an element $\eta \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ to the n -dimensional quotient of $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\eta, 0}/\mathfrak{m}^n \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^n \rightarrow R/I_{\eta, 0} = \mathcal{O}_{\eta, 0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathbf{p} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ be the Plücker embedding where $N = \binom{n+1}{2} (\binom{n+1}{2} - n)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Identify $M_n(x)$ with $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, and regard σ_n as a curve in $M_n(x) \subset X^{[n]}$. Then as a curve in $X^{[n]}$, σ_n is homologous to β_n .*

Proof. According to the results in Sect. 3 of [LQZ], it suffices to show that the image $(\mathbf{p} \circ \tau)(\sigma_n)$ is a line. Fix a basis for the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$:

$$\bar{1}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}^2, \bar{u}\bar{v}, \bar{u}^3, \bar{u}^2\bar{v}, \bar{u}\bar{v}^2, \dots, \bar{u}^{n-1}, \bar{u}^{n-2}\bar{v}, \dots, \bar{u}\bar{v}^{n-2}, \bar{v}, \dots, \bar{v}^{n-1}.$$

Note the special ordering of this basis. Recall from (2.13) that for any $\eta \in \sigma_n \subset \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, $I_{\eta,0} = (\lambda u + \mu v^{n-1}, u^2, uv, v^n)$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$. So a basis for the subspace $I_{\eta,0}/\mathfrak{m}^n \subset R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ can be chosen as

$$\lambda \bar{u} + \mu \bar{v}^{n-1}, \bar{u}^2, \bar{u}\bar{v}, \bar{u}^3, \bar{u}^2\bar{v}, \bar{u}\bar{v}^2, \dots, \bar{u}^{n-1}, \bar{u}^{n-2}\bar{v}, \dots, \bar{u}\bar{v}^{n-2},$$

and the matrix representation of $I_{\eta,0}/\mathfrak{m}^n$ is given by the $\binom{n}{2} \times \binom{n+1}{2}$ -matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mu \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus, $(\mathbf{p} \circ \tau)(\eta) = [0, \dots, 0, \lambda, 0, \dots, 0, \mu, 0, \dots, 0]$ where the positions of λ and μ are independent of $\eta \in \sigma_n$. So the image $(\mathbf{p} \circ \tau)(\sigma_n)$ is a line. $\square$

Note that the flat limits of the elements $(\lambda u + v, v^n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ in $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ are equal to (v, u^n) and (u, v^n) respectively. So in the punctual Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, we have the projective curve:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n = \{(\lambda u + v, v^n) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \cup \{(v, u^n), (u, v^n)\}. \quad (2.15)$$

Lemma 2.8. *As a curve in $X^{[n]}$, $\tilde{\sigma}_n$ is homologous to $\binom{n}{2}\sigma_n$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\tilde{\sigma}_n \sim \binom{n}{2}\sigma_n$ in $H_2(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0); \mathbb{Z})$. By (2.15), if $\eta \in \tilde{\sigma}_n - \{(v, u^n), (u, v^n)\}$, then a basis for the subspace $I_{\eta,0}/\mathfrak{m}^n \subset R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ is

$$\lambda \bar{u} + \bar{v}, \lambda \bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}\bar{v}, \lambda \bar{u}\bar{v} + \bar{v}^2, \dots, \lambda \bar{u}^{n-1} + \bar{u}^{n-2}\bar{v}, \lambda \bar{u}^{n-2}\bar{v} + \bar{u}^{n-3}\bar{v}^2, \dots, \lambda \bar{u}\bar{v}^{n-2} + \bar{v}^{n-1}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we see that the degree of $(\mathbf{p} \circ \tau)(\tilde{\sigma}_n - \{(v, u^n), (u, v^n)\})$ is $\binom{n}{2}$. So $(\mathbf{p} \circ \tau)(\tilde{\sigma}_n)$ has degree $\binom{n}{2}$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists an integer d such that $\tilde{\sigma}_n \sim d\sigma_n$ in $H_2(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0); \mathbb{Z})$. Since $(\mathbf{p} \circ \tau)(\sigma_n)$ is a line, $d = \binom{n}{2}$. $\square$

3. 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $d \geq 1$. For simplicity, we shall use $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle_{0,d}$ to stand for $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle_{0,d\beta_3}$. Our goal is to compute the 3-point Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle_{0,d}$ of $X^{[3]}$. Recall from Lemma 2.5 that the 1-point Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \alpha_1 \rangle_{0,d}$ of $X^{[3]}$ have been calculated. Since the expected complex dimension of the stack $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,3}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ is 6, it remains to compute the 2-point Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ when A_1 runs over the basis $\mathfrak{B}_6$ of $H_6(X^{[3]})$ in Lemma 2.3 (iii) and A_2 runs over the basis $\mathfrak{B}_8$ of $H_8(X^{[3]})$ in Lemma 2.3 (iv), and $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ when A_1, A_2, A_3 run over the basis $\mathfrak{B}_8$.

3.1. $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ **with** $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_6$ **and** $A_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$.

Lemma 3.1. *The 2-point Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ are equal to zero for the following pairs of $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathfrak{B}_6 \times \mathfrak{B}_8$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These follow from similar geometric arguments. For instance, let us show that $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ when $A_1 = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle$ and $A_2 = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle$.

Choose five lines $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_5 \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$ in general position. By (2.11), we see that up to a scalar, A_1 is represented by the closure of the subset

$$\{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \text{ are distinct and } x_i \in \ell_i \text{ for each } i\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, A_2 is represented by the closure of the subset

$$\{x + x_4 + x_5 \mid x, x_4, x_5 \text{ are distinct and } x_i \in \ell_i \text{ for each } i\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the substack of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ parametrizing all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ with $\mu(p_1) \in A_1$ and $\mu(p_2) \in A_2$. We claim that $\mathfrak{M} = \emptyset$. Indeed, assume $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ is an object of $\mathfrak{M}$. On one hand, by (3.1), $\rho(\mu(C)) = 2(\ell_i \cap \ell_j) + x_k$ where ρ is the Hilbert-Chow map (2.8), $\{i, j, k\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, and $x_k \in \ell_k$. On the other hand, by (3.2), we obtain

$$\rho(\mu(C)) = 2(\ell_4 \cap \ell_5) + x$$

for some $x \in X$, or $\rho(\mu(C)) = 2x_i + x_j$ where $\{i, j\}$ is a permutation of $\{4, 5\}$, $x_i \in \ell_i$, and $x_j \in \ell_j$. Since the lines $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_5 \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$ are in general position, such $\rho(\mu(C))$ does not exist. So $\mathfrak{M} = \emptyset$. Hence $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *The 2-point Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ are equal to zero for the following pairs of $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathfrak{B}_6 \times \mathfrak{B}_8$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle), \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These invariants are equal to certain genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants of a K3 surface. So our lemma follows from the fact that all the genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariants of a K3 surface are equal to zero. For instance, let us show that $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ when $A_1 = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$ and $A_2 = \mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle$.

Fix $x \in X$, and a small analytic open subset U of X such that $x \in U$. We may assume that U is independent of X . Note that for a stable map $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$, either $\mu(C) \subset U^{[3]}$ or $\mu(C) \cap U^{[3]} = \emptyset$. So the analytic open substack $\mathfrak{U} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ parametrizing all stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ with $\mu(C) \subset U^{[3]}$ depends only on U , and is independent of X .

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the substack of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ parametrizing all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ such that $\mu(p_1) \in A_1$ and $\mu(p_2) \in A_2$. Note from the descriptions of A_1 and A_2 that if $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $\mu(C) \subset M_3(x) \subset U^{[3]}$. So $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{U}$. In fact, $\mathfrak{M}$ parametrizes all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \mathfrak{U}$ with $\mu(C) \subset M_3(x) \subset U^{[3]}$. So $\mathfrak{M}$ is also independent of X .

In summary, we showed that $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{U}$ where $\mathfrak{U}$ is analytic open in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$, and $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{U}$ are independent of X . It follows from the constructions of the virtual fundamental class (see [LT2, LT3, Ru1]) that the restriction $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)]^{\text{vir}}|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is independent of the smooth surface X . So we have $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = \langle \text{PD}(A'_1), \text{PD}(A'_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ where $A'_1 = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X')\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x')|0\rangle$, $A'_2 = \mathbf{a}_{-3}(X')|0\rangle$, $x' \in X'$, and X' is a K3 surface. Therefore, we conclude that $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$. $\square$

To compute other 2-point invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$, we recall from [L-Q] some results concerning obstruction bundles and virtual fundamental classes. Fix $n \geq 2$. Let $B_* = \{\xi \in X^{[n]} \mid |\text{Supp}(\xi)| = n - 1\}$ and $X_{s*}^{(n)} = \rho(B_*)$ where ρ is the Hilbert-Chow map. Let $j_2 : X_{s*}^{(n)} \rightarrow X$ be the morphism defined by sending $2x + x_3 + \dots + x_n$ to x . For $k \geq 0$, let $\mathfrak{U}_k$ be the open substack of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(X^{[n]}, d\beta_n)$ parametrizing stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow X^{[n]}]$ such that $\mu(C) \subset B_*$. For $k \geq 1$, note that $\mathfrak{U}_k = f_{k,0}^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_0)$. Put $\tilde{e}v_k = ev_k|_{\mathfrak{U}_k}$ and $\tilde{f}_{k,0} = f_{k,0}|_{\mathfrak{U}_k}$. Then we can regard $\tilde{e}v_k$ and $\tilde{f}_{k,0}$ as morphisms from $\mathfrak{U}_k$ to $(B_*)^k$ and $\mathfrak{U}_0$ respectively. In addition, there exist morphisms ϕ and j_1 forming a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{U}_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}v_1} & B_* & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \mathbb{P}(j_2^* T_X^*) \\ \downarrow \tilde{f}_{1,0} & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathfrak{U}_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \rho(B_*) & = & X_{s*}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{j_2} X \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(j_2^* T_X^*) \rightarrow X_{s*}^{(n)}$ is the natural projection of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. By the Lemma 3.1 in [L-Q], the restriction of $R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1^* T_{X^{[n]}})$ to $\mathfrak{U}_0$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $(2d - 1)$. Since the excess dimension of $\mathfrak{U}_0$ is $(2d - 1)$, Proposition 2.1 implies that if $\mathfrak{M}$ is a closed substack of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(X^{[n]}, d\beta_n)$ contained in $\mathfrak{U}_k$, then

$$[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(X^{[n]}, d\beta_n)]^{\text{vir}}|_{\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ \tilde{f}_{k,0}^* (c_{2d-1}(R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1^* T_{X^{[n]}})|_{f_{k,0}(\mathfrak{M})})) \right\}|_{\mathfrak{M}}. \quad (3.4)$$

The following summarizes the formula (32), Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.1 in [L-Q].

Lemma 3.3. (i) $\mathcal{O}_{B_*}(B_*) \cong j_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(j_2^* T_X^*)}(-2)$.

- (ii) Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the restriction of $R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*T_{X^{[n]}}$ to $\mathfrak{U}_0$. Then, the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (j_2 \circ \phi)^*\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{E} = R^1(\tilde{f}_{1,0})_*(j_1 \circ \tilde{ev}_1)^*((j_2 \circ \pi)^*T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(j_2^*T_X^*)}(-1))$.

- (iii) Over $\phi^{-1}(2x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_n) \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, d[\mathbb{P}^1])$ where $x_2, \dots, x_n$ are distinct points in X , there is an isomorphism of locally free sheaves:

$$\mathcal{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(2x_2+x_3+\dots+x_n)} \cong R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)).$$

Next, using Lemma 3.3, we compute other 2-point Gromov-Witten invariants.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $d \geq 1$. Then,*

- (i) $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ for the two choices of (A_1, A_2) :
 $(\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle, \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle), (\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle);$
(ii) $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = -4(K_X \cdot \ell)/d$ for the two choices of (A_1, A_2) :
 $(\mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle), (\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle).$

Proof. (i) Since the proofs for the two choices of (A_1, A_2) are similar, we only prove $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ for $A_1 = \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle$ and $A_2 = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle$. Fix a point x and a line ℓ in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $x \notin \ell$. By (2.11), we see that up to a scalar, A_1 is represented by the closure of the subset

$$\{x' + \xi \mid \xi \in M_2(x) \text{ and } x' \neq x\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, A_2 is represented by the closure of the subset

$$\{\xi + x_1 \mid x_1 \in \ell, \xi \in M_2(x_2) \text{ for some } x_2 \notin \ell\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Working with algebraic cycles instead of cohomology classes, we have

$$\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = [\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)]^{\text{vir}} \cdot ev_2^*[A_1 \times A_2]. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that $ev_2^*[A_1 \times A_2]$ is an algebraic cycle supported in $ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2)$. By (3.5) and (3.6), $ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2)$ parametrizes all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ satisfying $\rho(\mu(C)) \in 2x + \ell$. In particular, $ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2) \subset \mathfrak{U}_2$. Applying (3.4) to $\mathfrak{M} = ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2)$ and combining with Lemma 3.3 (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)]^{\text{vir}}|_{\mathfrak{M}} &= \left\{ \tilde{f}_{2,0}^*(c_{2d-1}(R^1(f_{1,0})_*(ev_1)^*T_{X^{[n]}})|_{f_{2,0}(\mathfrak{M})}) \right\}|_{\mathfrak{M}} \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{f}_{2,0}^*((j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X) \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E})|_{f_{2,0}(\mathfrak{M})}) \right\}|_{\mathfrak{M}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now $(j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X) = 3(j_2 \circ \phi)^*[\ell']$ where the line ℓ' in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ is chosen not to contain the fixed point x . We have $(j_2 \circ \phi)^{-1}(\ell') \cap f_{2,0}(\mathfrak{M}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $(j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X)|_{f_{2,0}(\mathfrak{M})} = 0$. By (3.8), $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)]^{\text{vir}}|_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$. Since $ev_2^*[A_1 \times A_2]$ is supported in $\mathfrak{M} = ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2)$, we see from (3.7) that $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$.

(ii) Again, the proofs for the two choices of (A_1, A_2) are similar. So we only prove $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = -4(K_X \cdot \ell)/d$ for $A_1 = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle$ and $A_2 = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle$. We follow the argument for the Lemma 3.3 (ii) in [L-Q].

Fix three lines $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$ in general position. Then A_1 is represented by the closure of the subset $\{\xi + x \mid \xi \in M_2(\ell_1), x \in \ell_2, x \notin |\text{Supp}(\xi)|\}$. Similarly, A_2 is represented by the closure of the subset

$$\{\xi + x \mid \xi \in M_2(X), x \in \ell_3, x \notin |\text{Supp}(\xi)|\}.$$

So $ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2)$ parametrizes all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ satisfying $\rho(\mu(C)) \in 2\ell_1 + (\ell_2 \cap \ell_3) \subset B_*$, and $ev_2^*[A_1 \times A_2]$ is a cycle in $ev_2^{-1}(A_1 \times A_2) \subset \mathfrak{U}_2$. As in (3.7) and (3.8), we see that $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ is equal to

$$\tilde{f}_{2,0}^*((j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X) \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E})) \cdot ev_2^*[A_1 \times A_2].$$

Since $\tilde{f}_{2,0}^*((j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X) \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E}))$ is supported in $\mathfrak{U}_2$, recalling the definition of $\tilde{ev}_2$ from the paragraph containing (3.3), we see that $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ equals

$$\tilde{f}_{2,0}^*((j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X) \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E})) \cdot \tilde{ev}_2^*([A_1][B_*] \times ([A_2][B_*])).$$

Now, $[A_i][B_*] = [A_i \cap B_*]c_1(\mathcal{O}_{B_*}(B_*))$. Let $\mathbb{D}$ stand for the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle over $B_* \cong \mathbb{P}(j_2^*T_X^*)$. Then we obtain from Lemma 3.3 (i) that the invariant $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ is equal to

$$4\tilde{f}_{2,0}^*((j_2 \circ \phi)^*(-K_X) \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E})) \cdot \tilde{ev}_2^*([A_1 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D} \times ([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D})). \quad (3.9)$$

Fix a line ℓ such that $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell$ are in general position. We claim that

$$\tilde{f}_{2,0}^*(j_2 \circ \phi)^*[\ell] \cdot \tilde{ev}_2^*([A_1 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D} \times ([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D})) = [\tilde{ev}_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)] \quad (3.10)$$

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are two fixed points in $M_2(x_1) + x_2$ with $\{x_1\} = \ell_1 \cap \ell$, and $\{x_2\} = \ell_2 \cap \ell_3$. To see this, let $\tilde{e}_1$ and $\tilde{e}_2$ be the restrictions to $\mathfrak{U}_2$ of the two evaluation maps from $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ to $X^{[3]}$. We regard $\tilde{e}_1$ and $\tilde{e}_2$ as morphisms from $\mathfrak{U}_2$ to B_* . Then, $\tilde{ev}_2 = \tilde{e}_1 \times \tilde{e}_2$ and $\phi \circ \tilde{f}_{2,0} = \rho \circ \tilde{e}_1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{f}_{2,0}^*(j_2 \circ \phi)^*[\ell] \cdot \tilde{ev}_2^*([A_1 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D} \times ([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D})) \\ &= \tilde{f}_{2,0}^*(j_2 \circ \phi)^*[\ell] \cdot \tilde{e}_1^*([A_1 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D}) \cdot \tilde{e}_2^*([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D}) \\ &= \tilde{e}_1^*((j_2 \circ \rho)^*[\ell] \cdot [A_1 \cap B_*] \cdot \mathbb{D}) \cdot \tilde{e}_2^*([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the cycle $(j_2 \circ \rho)^*[\ell] \cdot [A_1 \cap B_*] \cdot \mathbb{D}$ is represented by $\eta_1 + \ell_2$ where η_1 is a fixed point in $M_2(x_1)$. So $\tilde{e}_1^*((j_2 \circ \rho)^*[\ell] \cdot [A_1 \cap B_*] \cdot \mathbb{D})$ is represented by the substack $\mathfrak{M}_2$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ parametrizing all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ such that $\mu(C) = M_2(x_1) + x$ for some $x \in \ell_2$ and $\mu(p_1) = \eta_1 + x$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{f}_{2,0}^*(j_2 \circ \phi)^*[\ell] \cdot \tilde{ev}_2^*([A_1 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D} \times ([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D})) \\ &= [\mathfrak{M}_2] \cdot \tilde{e}_2^*([A_2 \cap B_*]\mathbb{D}) = [\tilde{ev}_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)] \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1 = \eta_1 + x_2$ and ξ_2 is a fixed point in $M_2(x_1) + x_2$. This proves (3.10).

By (3.9) and (3.10), $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 12\tilde{f}_{2,0}^*(c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E})) \cdot [\tilde{ev}_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)] \\ &= -4(K_X \cdot \ell) \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot (\tilde{f}_{2,0})_*[\tilde{ev}_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Note that $\tilde{ev}_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)$ parametrizes all the stable maps $[\mu : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ satisfying $\mu(p_1) = \xi_1$ and $\mu(p_2) = \xi_2$. For these stable maps, we

must have $\mu(C) = M_2(x_1) + x_2$. So the restriction of $\tilde{f}_{2,0}$ to $\tilde{e}v_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)$ is a degree- d^2 morphism to $\phi^{-1}(2x_1 + x_2)$. Thus, $(\tilde{f}_{2,0})_*[\tilde{e}v_2^{-1}(\xi_1 \times \xi_2)] = d^2[\phi^{-1}(2x_1 + x_2)]$. By (3.11), we obtain $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = -4(K_X \cdot \ell)d^2 \cdot c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(2x_1+x_2)})$. By Lemma 3.3 (iii) and the Theorem 9.2.3 in [C-K], $c_{2d-2}(\mathcal{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(2x_1+x_2)}) = 1/d^3$. Therefore, we have $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = -4(K_X \cdot \ell)/d$. $\square$

In view of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, the only 2-point Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ with $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_6$ and $A_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$ that has not been computed is when $A_1 = \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle$ and $A_2 = \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle$. This invariant

$$\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d} \quad (3.12)$$

will be studied in Sect. 4 by using the localization formula.

We summarize the results in this subsection into a theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\mathfrak{B}_6$ and $\mathfrak{B}_8$ be defined in Definition 2.4. Let $d \geq 1$, $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_6$ and $A_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$. Let x, ℓ be a point and a line in X respectively. Then, $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d}$ is zero unless the pair (A_1, A_2) is one of the following:*

- (i) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (ii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle)$
- (iii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle)$.

Moreover, $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2) \rangle_{0,d} = 12/d$ in cases (i) and (ii). $\square$

3.2. $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ with $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$.

Lemma 3.6. *The Gromov-Witten invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ are equal to zero for the following triples of $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in (\mathfrak{B}_8)^3$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1 = \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, A_2 \neq \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, A_3 \neq \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \\ & A_1 = A_2 = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle, A_3 \text{ arbitrary}, \\ & A_1 = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle, A_2 \text{ arbitrary}, A_3 \text{ arbitrary}, \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), \\ & A_1 = A_2 = \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, A_3 \neq \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle, \\ & (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle), \\ & A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \{\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The arguments are similar to those for Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, $\ell \subset X$ be a line, and $d \geq 1$. Then,*

- (i) $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ for the following triple:
 $(A_1, A_2, A_3) = (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle);$
- (ii) $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} = 8(K_X \cdot \ell)$ for the triple:
 $(A_1, A_2, A_3) = (\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle).$

Proof. The arguments are similar to those for Lemma 3.4 (i) and (ii). $\square$

According to Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, it remains to compute the invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ for the following 3 triples of $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in (\mathfrak{B}_8)^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= A_2 = \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle, \\ A_3 &= \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the next two lemmas, we shall calculate them in terms of (3.12). Put

$$\mathcal{E}_i = \pi_1(\pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_X(i)|_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_3}}) \quad (3.13)$$

where π_1 and π_2 denote the projections of $X^{[3]} \times X$ to the two factors. It is known that $c_1(\mathcal{E}_i) = iD_\ell - B_3/2$. Using the commutation relations among standard operators on $\mathbb{H}$ (e.g. the Theorem 3.1 in [LQW4]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{E}_0)^2 &= \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle - \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2 \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X) \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2 |0\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X) \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(K_X)|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $d \geq 1$ and $A = \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle$. Let w_1, w_2 denote the two invariants $\langle \text{PD}(A), \text{PD}(A), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ for $A_3 = \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle$ respectively. Then, $w_1 = w_2 = -2d \langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$.*

Proof. Since the arguments for w_1 and w_2 are almost the same, we only prove that $w_2 = -2d \langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$. Let $c_1 = c_1(\mathcal{E}_0) = -B_3/2$ (we regard a divisor as either a homology class or a cohomology class depending on the context). Apply the composition law (2.6) to $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = c_1, \alpha_3 = \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle), \alpha_4 = \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)$, and to the basis $\{\Delta_a\}$ of $H^*(X^{[3]})$ given by (2.12).

First of all, the left-hand-side of (2.6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle c_1^2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} + \langle c_1, c_1, \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} \\ &+ \sum_{d_1+d_2=d, d_1, d_2 > 0} \sum_a \langle c_1, c_1, \Delta_a \rangle_{0,d_1} \langle \Delta^a, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.14) and Lemma 3.6, $\langle c_1^2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = w_2$. Since the intersection number $(c_1 \cdot \beta_3)$ is equal to 1, $\langle c_1, c_1, \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = d^2 \langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d}$ and $\langle c_1, c_1, \Delta_a \rangle_{0,d_1} = d_1^2 \langle \Delta_a \rangle_{0,d_1}$. By Lemma 2.5, $\langle \Delta_a \rangle_{0,d_1} \neq 0$ only when $\Delta_a = \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle)$. Note that $\Delta^a = -1/2 \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)$. So $\langle \Delta^a, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d_2} = 0$ by Lemma 3.6. It follows from (3.15) that the left-hand-side of (2.6) is equal to

$$w_2 + d^2 \langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d}. \quad (3.16)$$

We claim that $\langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = -12(K_X \cdot \ell)/d^2$. To prove this, note from (3.14) that $\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle = c_1^2 + \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)^2 \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle + 1/2 \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X) \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2 |0\rangle - 3/2 \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X) \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle$. Choose lines ℓ', ℓ'' in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'' are in general position. Then, $(\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle) \cap (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell')|0\rangle) \cap (\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell'')|0\rangle) = \emptyset$. It follows that $(\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)^3 = 0$. In view of the linear basis in Lemma 2.3 (ii), we see that $(\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle)^2$ is a linear combination of $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)^2|0\rangle, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2 \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle,$

$\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle$, and $\mathbf{a}_{-3}(x)|0\rangle$. Hence $\langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle) \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ according to Lemma 2.5 (i), and we see that $\langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d}$ is equal to

$$\langle c_1^2 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} + \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^2 \mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle) \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle) \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d}.$$

Since $(D_\ell)^2 = \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)^2|0\rangle + 1/2 \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^2 \mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, we obtain

$$\langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = \langle c_1^2 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} + \frac{1}{2} \langle D_\ell^2 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} + \frac{3}{4} \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^2 \mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle) \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d}. \quad (3.17)$$

Since $\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)^2 \mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle \cdot \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle = 2\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle$, the third term in (3.17) is equal to $3(K_X \cdot \ell)/d^2$ by Lemma 2.5 (ii). Since $D_\ell^2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle = \mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle + 4\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle$, the second term in (3.17) is equal to $(K_X \cdot \ell)/d^2$ by Lemma 2.5. Using a similar argument, we see that the first term in (3.17) is equal to $-16(K_X \cdot \ell)/d^2$. Thus, $\langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = -12(K_X \cdot \ell)/d^2$ in view of (3.17).

Combining with (3.16), we see that the left-hand-side of (2.6) is equal to $w_2 - 12(K_X \cdot \ell)$. Similarly, the right-hand-side of (2.6) is equal to

$$-2d \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d} - 12(K_X \cdot \ell).$$

Hence we have $w_2 = -2d \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $d \geq 1$. Put $f(d) = d \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d}$. Let w_3 denote $\langle \text{PD}(A), \text{PD}(A), \text{PD}(A) \rangle_{0,d}$ for $A = \mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle$. Then w_3 equals*

$$\begin{aligned} & -24K_X^2 - 18(K_X \cdot \ell) + 5(K_X \cdot \ell)f(d) \\ & -2(K_X \cdot \ell) \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1)f(d-d_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our idea is the same as in the proof of Lemma 3.8. Let $c_1 = c_1(\mathcal{E}_0)$. Apply (2.6) to $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = c_1$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0\rangle)$. Then, the left-hand-side of (2.6) is still of the form (3.15). By (3.14), Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, $\langle c_1^2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = w_3 - (K_X \cdot \ell)/2 w_2 = w_3 + (K_X \cdot \ell)f(d)$. Also, $\langle c_1, c_1, \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = d^2 \langle \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d} = 24K_X^2 + 18(K_X \cdot \ell)$, and $\sum_a \langle c_1, c_1, \Delta_a \rangle_{0,d_1} \langle \Delta^a, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d_2}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{d_1^2}{2} \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle) \rangle_{0,d_1} \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0\rangle), \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle_{0,d_2} \\ & = -\frac{d_1^2}{2} \cdot \frac{2(K_X \cdot \ell)}{d_1^2} \cdot (-2f(d_2)) = 2(K_X \cdot \ell)f(d_2) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.5 (ii) and Lemma 3.8. So the left-hand-side of (2.6) is

$$w_3 + (K_X \cdot \ell)f(d) + 24K_X^2 + 18(K_X \cdot \ell) + 2(K_X \cdot \ell) \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1). \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly, the right-hand-side of (2.6) is equal to

$$6(K_X \cdot \ell)f(d) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1)f(d-d_1). \quad (3.19)$$

Now we prove the lemma by comparing (3.18) and (3.19). $\square$

The results in this subsection are summarized into a theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\mathfrak{B}_8$ be defined in Definition 2.4. Let $\ell \subset X$ be a line. Let $d \geq 1$, $f(d) = d \langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d}$, and $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathfrak{B}_8$. Then, the 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d}$ is zero unless the unordered triple (A_1, A_2, A_3) is one of the following:*

- (i) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0), \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0)$
- (ii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0), \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0, \mathfrak{a}_{-2}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0)$
- (iii) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0), \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(X)\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(\ell)|0)$
- (iv) $(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0), \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0, \mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0)$.

Moreover, $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} = -24$ for case (i); for cases (ii) and (iii), $\langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} = -2f(d)$; for case (iv),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \text{PD}(A_1), \text{PD}(A_2), \text{PD}(A_3) \rangle_{0,d} \\ &= -162 - 15f(d) + 6 \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{0 < d_1 < d} f(d_1)f(d-d_1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Computation of $\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d}$

In this section, we study the remaining 2-point Gromov-Witten invariant

$$\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d}$$

in (3.12). Using the standard $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -action on $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and the virtual localization formula in [G-P], we reduce the computation to a summation over stable graphs. This allows us to calculate $\langle \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathfrak{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d}$ for $d \leq 4$.

4.1. The contracted $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -invariant curves in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$.

Let $T \subset \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices. Then $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^2$ with fixed points $P_0 = (1, 0, 0)$, $P_1 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $P_2 = (0, 0, 1)$. There is an induced action of T on the Hilbert scheme $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ with a finite number of fixed points. The T -fixed points in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ are enumerated as follows. If (u_i, v_i) are the local coordinates at the fixed point P_i , then there are three T -fixed points in $M_3(P_i) \subset (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ corresponding to the partitions (3), (2, 1) and (1, 1, 1) of 3. The corresponding ideals are (u_i^3, v_i) , $(u_i^2, u_i v_i, v_i^2)$ and (u_i, v_i^3) . Also for each ordered pair of points (P_i, P_j) with $i \neq j$, we have two fixed points $R_{i,j}^{(1)} = \xi_{i,1} + P_j$ and $R_{i,j}^{(2)} = \xi_{i,2} + P_j$ in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$, where $\xi_{i,1}, \xi_{i,2} \in M_2(P_i)$ correspond to the ideals $(u_i, v_i^2), (u_i^2, v_i)$ respectively. Finally, $P_0 + P_1 + P_2$ is also a T -fixed point in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$.

Next, we start enumerating T -invariant curves. Observe that a T -invariant curve is the closure of a 1-dimensional T -orbit. Thus, a T -invariant curve is the T -orbit of a point in a fixed component of a 1-parameter subgroup of T corresponding to the kernel of the T -action along the curve. In particular a T -invariant curve is a smooth rational curve, and must contain exactly two fixed points.

We are only interested in T -invariant curves that are contracted under the Hilbert-Chow morphism $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^{(3)}$. Such curves must be entirely contained in $M_3(P_i)$ for some i , or in $M_2(P_i) + P_j$ for some $i \neq j$. Since $M_2(P_i) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, we immediately obtained six T -invariant curves $C_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_2(P_i) + P_j$, with $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ and $i \neq j$, contracted by the Hilbert-Chow morphism $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^{(3)}$.

We now analyze T -invariant curves in $M_3(P_i)$, by using a tangent space analysis. Suppose that $(s, t)(u_i, v_i) = (\lambda_i(s, t)u_i, \mu_i(s, t)v_i)$ where λ_i and μ_i are independent characters of T . Let $Q_{i,0}, Q_{i,1}, Q_{i,2} \in M_3(P_i)$ be the three T -fixed points corresponding to the ideals $(u_i^2, u_i v_i, v_i^2), (u_i^3, v_i), (u_i, v_i^3)$ respectively. For simplicity, denote the tangent space of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ at the point $Q_{i,j}$ by $T_{Q_{i,j}}$. By [E-S], we have the following decompositions for the tangent spaces as a representation of T :

$$T_{Q_{i,0}} = 2\lambda_i^{-1} + 2\mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-2}\mu_i + \lambda_i\mu_i^{-2} \quad (4.1)$$

$$T_{Q_{i,1}} = \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i^2 + \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-3} + \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1} \quad (4.2)$$

$$T_{Q_{i,2}} = \lambda_i^{-3} + \lambda_i^{-2} + \lambda_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^2\mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i\mu_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

The kernel of each character appearing in equations (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) determines 1-parameter subgroup whose fixed locus contains T -invariant curves. Since we are interested only in T -invariant curves contained in $M_3(P_i)$, we need only to analyze characters of the form $\lambda_i^k \mu_i^\ell$ with $k\ell \neq 0$. (The kernel of a character λ_i^k or μ_i^ℓ will have fixed locus that moves out of the punctual Hilbert scheme.)

Looking at $T_{Q_{i,0}}$ we see that the character $\lambda_i\mu_i^{-2}$ has multiplicity one. This means that its kernel has one-dimensional fixed component containing the point $Q_{i,0}$. Now the character $\lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i^2$ in $T_{Q_{i,1}}$ has the same kernel as the character $\lambda_i\mu_i^{-2}$ in $T_{Q_{i,0}}$. So there is a unique T -invariant curve, denoted by $C_{0,1}^{(i)}$, which contains $Q_{i,0}$ and $Q_{i,1}$, and is the fixed locus of $\ker(\lambda_i\mu_i^{-2})$. Similar analysis shows that there are two other T -invariant curves $C_{0,2}^{(i)}$ and $C_{1,2}^{(i)}$ in $M_3(P_i)$; namely, $C_{0,2}^{(i)}$ through $Q_{i,0}$ and $Q_{i,2}$ which is the fixed locus of $\ker(\lambda_i^{-2}\mu_i)$, while $C_{1,2}^{(i)}$ through $Q_{i,1}$ and $Q_{i,2}$ which is the fixed locus of $\ker(\lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i)$. This analysis partially proves the following.

Lemma 4.1. *There are 15 T -invariant curves contracted under the Hilbert-Chow morphism $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^{(3)}$. They are described as follows:*

- (i) *the six curves $C_{i,j} = M_2(P_i) + P_j$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ and $i \neq j$;*
- (ii) *the nine curves $C_{k,\ell}^{(i)} \subset M_3(P_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq k < \ell \leq 2$.*

Furthermore, $C_{1,2}^{(i)} \sim 3\beta_3$ and $C_{0,1}^{(i)} \sim C_{0,2}^{(i)} \sim \beta_3$ for every i .

Proof. It remains to prove the last sentence. Identify $M_3(P_i)$ with the punctual Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^3(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. By (2.15), $C_{1,2}^{(i)} = \tilde{\sigma}_3$. It follows from Lemma 2.8 that $C_{1,2}^{(i)} \sim 3\beta_3$. Similarly, we see from (2.13) and Lemma 2.7 that $C_{0,1}^{(i)} \sim C_{0,2}^{(i)} \sim \beta_3$. $\square$

Next, we compute the equivariant first Chern classes of the restrictions of the tautological bundles (3.13) to the T -fixed points in $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$. Let $w_i = c_1(\lambda_i)$ and $z_i = c_1(\mu_i)$ in the equivariant Chow group $A_*^T(pt)$. If we put $(w_0, z_0) = (w, z)$, then $(w_1, z_1) = (-w, -w + z)$ and $(w_2, z_2) = (-z, -z + w)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $g_0 = 0$, $g_1 = w$, and $g_2 = z$. There are T -linearizations on $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ such that $c_1(\mathcal{E}_0|_{R_{i,j}^{(1)}}) = z_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_0|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}) = w_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_0|_{Q_{i,0}}) = z_i + w_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_0|_{Q_{i,1}}) = 3z_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_0|_{Q_{i,2}}) = 3w_i$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(1)}}) = 2g_i + g_j + z_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}) = 2g_i + g_j + w_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{Q_{i,0}}) = 3g_i + z_i + w_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{Q_{i,1}}) = 3g_i + 3z_i$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{Q_{i,2}}) = 3g_i + 3w_i$.*

Proof. The proofs of these conclusions are similar. For instance, let us prove $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}) = 2g_i + g_j + w_i$. Note that the fiber $\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}$ is canonically identified with $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/I_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}$. Since $R_{i,j}^{(2)} = \xi_{i,2} + P_j$, $\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}$ is canonically identified with $(\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/I_{\xi_{i,2}}) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/I_{P_j})$. Therefore,

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}) = 2c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{P_i}) + c_1(\mathcal{O}_X/I_{\xi_{i,2}}) + c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{P_j}).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{P_i} \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{C}P_i)$, we have $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{P_i}) = g_i$. Using $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X/I_{\xi_{i,2}}) = c_1(\lambda_i) = w_i$, we conclude that $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}) = 2g_i + g_j + w_i$. $\square$

4.2. The Euler characteristic for a covering.

An important step in computing the virtual Euler class of the T -fixed locus $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2}((\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}, d\beta_3)^T$ is to compute (as a representation) $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ where $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ is a degree- d morphism such that the image is one of the 15 T -invariant curves in Lemma 4.1 and f is totally ramified at the two T -fixed points in $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

4.2.1. Degree- d coverings of $C_{k,\ell}^{(i)}$.

Observe that if $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ is a degree- d T -equivariant morphism with image $C_{k,\ell}^{(i)}$, then the characters of T -action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ are (using multiplicative notation) $\alpha^{1/d}, \beta^{1/d}$ where α, β are the characters of the T -action on the image curve $C_{k,\ell}^{(i)}$. Let $S_{i,k}$ and $S_{i,\ell}$ be the two fixed points of the action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ denoted so that the image of $S_{i,k}$ is $Q_{i,k}$ and the image of $S_{i,\ell}$ is $Q_{i,\ell}$. If V is a T -equivariant vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$, then the localization theorem for equivariant K -theory says that

$$\chi(V) = \frac{V|_{S_{i,k}}}{1 - T_{\mathbb{P}^1}^*|_{S_{i,k}}} + \frac{V|_{S_{i,\ell}}}{1 - T_{\mathbb{P}^1}^*|_{S_{i,\ell}}} \quad (4.4)$$

where $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}^*$ is the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}^*|_{S_{i,k}} \cong T_{C_{k,\ell}^{(i)}}^*|_{Q_{i,k}}$, we can use formulas (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) to determine $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$.

First of all, let $f(\mathbb{P}^1) = C_{0,1}^{(i)}$. The curve $C_{0,1}^{(i)}$ is a component of the fixed locus of $\ker(\lambda_i \mu_i^{-2})$. Thus, reading off (4.1) and (4.2), we see that $T_{C_{0,1}^{(i)}}|_{Q_{i,0}} = \lambda_i \mu_i^{-2}$ and $T_{C_{0,1}^{(i)}}|_{Q_{i,1}} = \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2$. Thus $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}|_{S_{i,0}} = \gamma_i \theta_i^{-2}$ and $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}|_{S_{i,1}} = \gamma_i^{-1} \theta_i^2$ where $\gamma_i^d = \lambda_i$ and $\theta_i^d = \mu_i$. Substituting (4.1) and (4.2) into the localization formula (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}}) &= \frac{\lambda_i \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-2} \mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1}}{1 - \gamma_i^{-1} \theta_i^2} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-3} + \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1}}{1 - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/(1 - \gamma_i^{-1} \theta_i^2) = -\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2}/(1 - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})$, the right hand side can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1 - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-2}} [(\lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-3} + \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1}) - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-2} ((\lambda_i^2 \mu_i^{-4})(\lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2) \\ &+ (\lambda_i \mu_i^{-2}) \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + (\lambda_i^{-2} \mu_i^4) \mu_i^{-3} + (\lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2) \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\lambda_i = \gamma_i^d$ and $\mu_i = \theta_i^d$, we conclude that $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 \sum_{m=0}^{2d} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^m + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i \sum_{m=0}^d (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^m + \lambda_i^{-1} \\ & - \mu_i^{-3} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^{-2d+1} \sum_{m=0}^{2d-2} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^m - \mu_i^{-2} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^{-d+1} \sum_{m=0}^{d-2} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^m + \mu_i^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify this further, set $\Theta_{0,1}^{(i)} = \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-2})^m = \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} (\lambda_i \mu_i^{-2})^{m/d}$ (with the understanding that $\Theta_{0,1}^{(i)} = 0$ when $d = 1$). Then we see that $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + \lambda_i \mu_i^{-2} + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-1}) \\ & + (\lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + 1 + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i - \lambda_i^{-2} \mu_i - \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-1}) \Theta_{0,1}^{(i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By symmetry, if $f(\mathbb{P}^1) = C_{0,2}^{(i)}$, then $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \mu_i^{-1} \lambda_i^2 + \mu_i \lambda_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1} \lambda_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} - \mu_i^{-1} \lambda_i^{-1}) \\ & + (\mu_i^{-1} \lambda_i^2 + 1 + \mu_i^{-1} \lambda_i - \mu_i^{-2} \lambda_i - \mu_i^{-1} \lambda_i^{-1} - \mu_i^{-1}) \Theta_{0,2}^{(i)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $\Theta_{0,2}^{(i)} = \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} (\mu_i \lambda_i^{-2})^{m/d}$, and as above $\Theta_{0,2}^{(i)} = 0$ if $d = 1$.

Next, let $f(\mathbb{P}^1) = C_{1,2}^{(i)}$. Then $T_{C_{1,2}^{(i)}}|_{Q_{i,1}} = \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i$ and $T_{C_{1,2}^{(i)}}|_{Q_{i,2}} = \lambda_i \mu_i^{-1}$. Thus $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}|_{S_{i,1}} = \gamma_i^{-1} \theta_i$ and $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}|_{S_{i,2}} = \gamma_i \theta_i^{-1}$. By (4.4), (4.2) and (4.3), $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-1}} [(\lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-3} + \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1}) \\ & - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-1} (\lambda_i^2 \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i \mu_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-3} + \lambda_i^{-2} + \lambda_i^{-1})] \end{aligned}$$

As above, the numerator is divisible by $(1 - \gamma_i \theta_i^{-1})$, and $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ is equal to

$$\lambda_i^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^2 \mu_i^s \sum_{m=0}^{(s+1)d} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-1})^m - \sum_{s=1}^3 \lambda_i^{-s} \sum_{m=1}^{sd-1} (\gamma_i \theta_i^{-1})^m.$$

Let $\Theta_{1,2}^{(i)} = \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} (\lambda_i \mu_i^{-1})^{m/d}$ with $\Theta_{1,2}^{(i)} = 0$ when $d = 1$. Then $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_i^{-1} (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + \lambda_i \mu_i^{-1} \\ & \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + \mu_i (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + \lambda_i (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + \lambda_i^2 \mu_i^{-1} \\ & - \lambda_i^{-1} \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)} - (\lambda_i^{-2} \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)} + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-1} (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)})) \\ & - (\lambda_i^{-3} \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)} + \lambda_i^{-2} \mu_i^{-1} (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}) + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-2} (1 + \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)})). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the terms, we conclude that $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i + 1 + \lambda_i \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + \mu_i + \lambda_i + \lambda_i^2 \mu_i^{-1} \\ & - \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-2} \mu_i^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-2}) \\ & + (1 + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^2 + \mu_i + \lambda_i + \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i - \lambda_i^{-2} - \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-3} - \lambda_i^{-2} \mu_i^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-1} \mu_i^{-2}) \Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

4.2.2. Degree- d coverings of $C_{i,j}$.

Consider maps $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ which are degree- d and have image $C_{i,j}$. To compute $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$, we recall from subsection 4.1 that the T -fixed points on $C_{i,j}$ are $R_{i,j}^{(1)}$ and $R_{i,j}^{(2)}$. Using the results in [E-S], we have the following decompositions for the tangent spaces of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ at $R_{i,j}^{(1)}$ and $R_{i,j}^{(2)}$ as representations of T :

$$T_{R_{i,j}^{(1)}} = \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1} + \mu_j^{-1}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$T_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}} = \lambda_i^{-2} + \lambda_i^{-1} + \lambda_i\mu_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1} + \mu_j^{-1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Also, $T_{C_{i,j}}|_{R_{i,j}^{(1)}} = \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i$ and $T_{C_{i,j}}|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}} = \lambda_i\mu_i^{-1}$. By (4.4), $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$ equals

$$\frac{\lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-2} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1} + \mu_j^{-1}}{1 - \gamma_i\theta_i^{-1}} + \frac{\lambda_i^{-2} + \lambda_i^{-1} + \lambda_i\mu_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1} + \mu_j^{-1}}{1 - \gamma_i^{-1}\theta_i}.$$

So we obtain the following formula for $\chi(f^*T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i + \lambda_i\mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_i^{-1} + \mu_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1} + \mu_j^{-1} - \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i^{-1}) \\ & + (1 + \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i - \lambda_i^{-2} - \lambda_i^{-1}\mu_i^{-1})\Theta_{1,2}^{(i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

4.3. T -invariant stable maps, stable graphs and localizations.

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Note that if $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ is T -invariant and if $\mathbb{P}^1$ is an irreducible component of C with nonconstant $f|_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, then $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is one of the 15 T -invariant curves in Lemma 4.1. The restriction $f|_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is ramified at exactly two points with ramification index $\deg(f|_{\mathbb{P}^1})$. Since $f|_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is ramified at every special point, $\mathbb{P}^1$ contains at most two special points. Moreover, f maps the contracted components and the special points (i.e., marked points, nodal points and ramification points) of C into the T -fixed point set $(X^{[3]})^T$.

Following the book [C-K], to each T -invariant stable map $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$, we can associate a marked graph Γ called a *stable graph of genus-0*. The graph Γ has one vertex for each connected component of $f^{-1}((X^{[3]})^T)$. It has one edge e for each non-contracted component $C_e \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, whose two vertices correspond to the connected components of $f^{-1}((X^{[3]})^T)$ containing the two ramification points in the component C_e . The edge e is marked with the degree $d_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \deg(f|_{C_e})$. Note that the morphism f defines a labeling map $\mathfrak{L}$ from the vertices of Γ to $(X^{[3]})^T$. Finally, a vertex is marked with $\{1\}$ (respectively, $\{2\}$, or $\{1, 2\}$) if the connected component of $f^{-1}((X^{[3]})^T)$ corresponding to the vertex contains the marked point p_1 (respectively, p_2 , or both p_1 and p_2).

To a stable graph Γ , we introduce the following notation (cf. [C-K]). Recall that a flag F is a pair (v, e) consisting of an edge e and a vertex v of e . For a flag $F = (v, e)$, define $i(F) = \mathfrak{L}(v)$. Let $S(v)$ be the number of markings of v , and $\text{val}(v)$ be the valance of v (i.e., the number of edges e such that v is a vertex of e). Let $n(F) = n(v) = \text{val}(v) + S(v)$. If $\text{val}(v) = 1$, let $F(v)$ be the single flag containing v ; if $\text{val}(v) = 2$, let $F_1(v)$ and $F_2(v)$ denote the two flags containing v .

Now the connected components of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)^T$ are enumerated by stable graphs corresponding to stable maps whose images are unions of the 15 T -invariant curves in Lemma 4.1 and whose contracted components and special points are mapped into $(X^{[3]})^T$. We use Γ to denote these stable graphs, and use $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ to denote the corresponding connected components of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)^T$. If Γ is a stable graph, let $M_\Gamma = \prod_{n(v) \geq 3} \overline{M}_{0,n(v)}$ where $\overline{M}_{0,n(v)}$ is the (fine) moduli space of $n(v)$ -pointed stable rational curves. As discussed in [C-K], there is a finite map $M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma = M_\Gamma / \mathbf{A}_\Gamma$ where $\mathbf{A}_\Gamma$ fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_e \mathbb{Z}/d_e \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since a stable curve is connected, we see from the description of the T -invariant curves in Lemma 4.1 that a summation over all the stable graphs Γ breaks up as

$$\sum_{\Gamma} = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{d,i,j}} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}_{d,i}} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{d,i,j}$ is the set of all stable graphs Γ such that $f(C) = C_{i,j}$ for every $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$, and $\mathcal{T}_{d,i}$ is the set of all stable graphs Γ such that $f(C) \subset C_{0,1}^{(i)} \cup C_{0,2}^{(i)} \cup C_{1,2}^{(i)}$ for every $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$.

Our goal of this section is to study $\langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d}$. To apply the localization formula more effectively, we rewrite this 2-point invariant by using the Chern classes of tautological bundles over $X^{[3]} = (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[3]}$ defined in (3.13). Let

$$A = (c_1(\mathcal{E}_1) - c_1(\mathcal{E}_0))c_1(\mathcal{E}_0)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B = c_1(\mathcal{E}_0)^2.$$

Intersecting (3.14) with $D_\ell = c_1(\mathcal{E}_1) - c_1(\mathcal{E}_0)$, we see that A is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 3\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0\rangle - 3\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(x)|0\rangle \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)^3|0\rangle + 3\mathbf{a}_{-1}(X)\mathbf{a}_{-2}(x)|0\rangle + \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{a}_{-2}(\ell)\mathbf{a}_{-1}(\ell)|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 (i), we obtain

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,d} = 3 \langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d} \quad (4.12)$$

where for notational simplicity, we make no distinction between the algebraic cycles A, B and their corresponding cohomology classes.

By the virtual localization formula of [G-P], we have

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,d} = \int_{[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)]^{\text{vir}}} ev_2^*(A \otimes B) = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}_\Gamma|} \int_{[M_\Gamma]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{(A \otimes B)_\Gamma}{e(N_\Gamma^{\text{vir}})}. \quad (4.13)$$

Here $[M_\Gamma]^{\text{vir}}$ is the pullback of $[\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma]^{\text{vir}}$ to M_Γ via the finite map $M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$. Likewise, $(A \otimes B)_\Gamma$ is the pullback of $ev_2^*(A \otimes B)|_{\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma}$ to M_Γ , and $e(N_\Gamma^{\text{vir}})$ is the pullback of the Euler class of the moving part N_Γ^{vir} of the tangent-obstruction complex.

Let Γ be a stable graph such that the labeling $\mathfrak{L}$ maps the marked vertices of Γ to the same point in $(X^{[3]})^T$. Then we have $(A \otimes B)_\Gamma = (1_X \otimes AB)_\Gamma$ where

$1_X \in H^0(X)$ is the fundamental cohomology class. By the fundamental class axiom, $\langle 1_X, AB \rangle_{0,d} = 0$. Thus in view of (4.13) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A, B \rangle_{0,d} &= \langle A, B \rangle_{0,d} - \langle 1_X, AB \rangle_{0,d} \\ &= \sum_{\Gamma} \int_{[M_{\Gamma}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{(A \otimes B)_{\Gamma} - (1_X \otimes AB)_{\Gamma}}{|\mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}| e(N_{\Gamma}^{\text{vir}})} = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j}} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where the three prime signs indicate that we only sum over stable graphs Γ such that the two marked vertices of Γ have distinct labels in $(X^{[3]})^T$. In other words, putting $\mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j}}$ and $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i}}$, we have

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,d} = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3} \mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{T}'_{d,i}. \quad (4.15)$$

4.4. Computation of $\mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}''_{d,i,j} = \mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j} / \sim$ where $\Gamma_1 \sim \Gamma_2$ if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are identical except that the vertex which is marked with $\{1\}$ (respectively, with $\{2\}$) in Γ_1 is marked with $\{2\}$ (respectively, with $\{1\}$) in Γ_2 . Then each graph Γ in $\mathcal{S}''_{d,i,j}$ gives rise to two graphs Γ_1, Γ_2 in $\mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j}$. However, there is no ambiguity to define

$$e_{d,i,j} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}''_{d,i,j}} \int_{[M_{\Gamma_1}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}_{\Gamma_1}| e(N_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{vir}})}. \quad (4.16)$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{d,i,j}$, $f(C) = C_{i,j}$ for every stable map $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{\Gamma_1}$ or $\mathfrak{M}_{\Gamma_2}$. Recall that $R_{i,j}^{(1)}$ and $R_{i,j}^{(2)}$ are the two T -fixed points in $C_{i,j}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[M_{\Gamma_1}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{(A \otimes B)_{\Gamma_1} - (1_X \otimes AB)_{\Gamma_1}}{|\mathbf{A}_{\Gamma_1}| e(N_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{vir}})} + \int_{[M_{\Gamma_2}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{(A \otimes B)_{\Gamma_2} - (1_X \otimes AB)_{\Gamma_2}}{|\mathbf{A}_{\Gamma_2}| e(N_{\Gamma_2}^{\text{vir}})} \\ &= -(A|_{R_{i,j}^{(1)}} - A|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}})(B|_{R_{i,j}^{(1)}} - B|_{R_{i,j}^{(2)}}) \cdot \int_{[M_{\Gamma_1}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}_{\Gamma_1}| e(N_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{vir}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 4.2 and (4.16), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{S}'_{d,i,j} = -(2g_i + g_j)(w_i^2 - z_i^2)^2 e_{d,i,j}. \quad (4.17)$$

To compute $e_{d,i,j}$, we calculate the contribution from a graph Γ_1 by considering the restriction of the tangent-obstruction complex on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(X^{[3]}, d\beta_3)$ to $\mathfrak{M}_{\Gamma_1}$. Following [G-P], the fibers of its cohomology sheaves, $\mathcal{T}^1$ and $\mathcal{T}^2$, at a point associated to a stable map $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}]$ fit into the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\Omega_C(p_1 + p_2), \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, f^*T_{X^{[3]}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^1 \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_C(p_1 + p_2), \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, f^*T_{X^{[3]}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the contribution of the moving parts of each term in the sequence, we use an analysis similar to that carried out for $\mathbb{P}^r$ in [G-P]. As was the case for $\mathbb{P}^r$, the fixed part $\mathcal{T}^{2,f}$ vanishes. So the fixed stack is smooth with tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}^{1,f}$.

In particular $[\mathfrak{M}_{\Gamma_1}]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathfrak{M}_{\Gamma_1}]$. As a result, denoting the contributions from the edges, vertices and flags of the graph Γ_1 by $e_{\Gamma_1}^e, e_{\Gamma_1}^v, e_{\Gamma_1}^F$ respectively, we obtain

$$e(N_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{vir}}) = e_{\Gamma_1}^e \cdot e_{\Gamma_1}^v \cdot e_{\Gamma_1}^F. \quad (4.18)$$

First of all, we have $e_{\Gamma_1}^e = \prod_e e(\chi(((f|_{C_e})^* T_{X^{[3]}})^m))$ where $((f|_{C_e})^* T_{X^{[3]}})^m$ denotes the moving part in $(f|_{C_e})^* T_{X^{[3]}}$. It follows from (4.10) that

$$e_{\Gamma_1}^e = \prod_e \frac{(-1)^{d_e-1} ((d_e-1)!)^2 w_i w_j z_i z_j (w_i - z_i)^2}{(w_i + z_i) P(1 + \frac{2d_e w_i}{-w_i + z_i}, d_e - 1) P(1 - \frac{d_e(w_i + z_i)}{w_i - z_i}, d_e - 1)} \quad (4.19)$$

where $P(a, n)$ denotes the polynomial $a(a+1) \dots (a+n-1)$.

Now the contributions of vertices and flags are given by

$$e_{\Gamma_1}^v = \prod_v e(T_{\mathcal{L}(v)}) \cdot \prod_{\text{val}(v)=n(v)=2} (\omega_{F_1(v)} + \omega_{F_2(v)}) \cdot \prod_{\text{val}(v)=n(v)=1} \omega_{F(v)}^{-1} \quad (4.20)$$

$$e_{\Gamma_1}^F = \prod_{n(F) \geq 3} (\omega_F - e_F) \cdot \prod_F e(T_{i(F)})^{-1} \quad (4.21)$$

where for a flag $F = (v, e)$, we put $\omega_F = e(T_{i(F)} C_{i,j})/d_e$, and define e_F to be the first Chern class of the bundle on M_Γ whose fiber is the cotangent space of the component associated to v at the point corresponding to the flag F (c.f. [C-K, p.285]). Note that $T_{i(F)} = T_{\mathcal{L}(v)}$ has been computed in (4.8) and (4.9). Thus, $\omega_F = (-w_i + z_i)/d_e$ if $i(F) = R_{i,j}^{(1)}$, and $\omega_F = (w_i - z_i)/d_e$ if $i(F) = R_{i,j}^{(2)}$.

4.5. Computation of $T'_{d,i}$.

Recall from (4.14) and (4.11) that $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i}$ is the set of all stable graphs Γ such that $f(C) \subset C_{0,1}^{(i)} \cup C_{0,2}^{(i)} \cup C_{1,2}^{(i)}$ for every $[f : (C; p_1, p_2) \rightarrow X^{[3]}] \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$, and that the marked vertices of Γ have distinct labels in $(X^{[3]})^T$. The T -fixed points in $C_{0,1}^{(i)} \cup C_{0,2}^{(i)} \cup C_{1,2}^{(i)}$ are $Q_{i,0}, Q_{i,1}, Q_{i,2}$. For $0 \leq j < k \leq 2$, let $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i,j,k}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i}$ consisting of all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i}$ such that the labeling $\mathcal{L}$ maps the marked vertices of Γ to $\{Q_{i,j}, Q_{i,k}\}$. Then, $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i,0,1}$, $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i,0,2}$ and $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i,1,2}$ form a partition of $\mathcal{T}'_{d,i}$. So

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i}} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i,0,1}} + \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i,0,2}} + \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i,1,2}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Put $\mathcal{T}''_{d,i,j,k} = \mathcal{T}'_{d,i,j,k} / \sim$ where the relation $\sim$ is defined the same way as in the first paragraph of subsection 4.4. As in (4.17) and (4.16), we get

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}''_{d,i,j,k}} \int_{[M_\Gamma]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{(A \otimes B)_\Gamma - (1_X \otimes AB)_\Gamma}{|\mathbf{A}_\Gamma| e(N_\Gamma^{\text{vir}})} = \gamma_{i,j,k} \cdot f_{d,i,j,k} \quad (4.23)$$

where $\gamma_{i,j,k} = -(A|_{Q_{i,j}} - A|_{Q_{i,k}})(B|_{Q_{i,j}} - B|_{Q_{i,k}})$ and

$$f_{d,i,j,k} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}''_{d,i,j,k}} \int_{[M_{\Gamma_1}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}_{\Gamma_1}| e(N_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{vir}})}. \quad (4.24)$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have $\gamma_{i,0,1} = -3g_i(w_i^2 + 2w_i z_i - 8z_i^2)^2$, $\gamma_{i,0,2} = -3g_i(-8w_i^2 + 2w_i z_i + z_i^2)^2$ and $\gamma_{i,1,2} = -243g_i(w_i^2 - z_i^2)^2$. Combining (4.22) and (4.23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{d,i} &= \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}'_{d,i}} \int_{[\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{(A \otimes B)_\Gamma - (1_X \otimes AB)_\Gamma}{e(N_\Gamma^{\text{vir}})} \\ &= \gamma_{i,0,1} \cdot f_{d,i,0,1} + \gamma_{i,0,2} \cdot f_{d,i,0,2} + \gamma_{i,1,2} \cdot f_{d,i,1,2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

The $f_{d,i,j,k}$ can be calculated via graph sums in a manner similar to the calculation of the $e_{d,i,j}$ in subsection 4.4. Note that if $f_{d,i,0,1}$ is written as a function of the variables w_i and z_i , then $f_{d,i,0,2}$ can be obtained from $f_{d,i,0,1}$ by switching w_i and z_i . Also, for an edge e of a stable graph Γ and for $0 \leq j < k \leq 2$, define $e \in [Q_{i,j}, Q_{i,k}]$ if the labeling $\mathfrak{L}$ of T maps the two vertices of e to the set $\{Q_{i,j}, Q_{i,k}\}$. By Lemma 4.1, the curves $C_{0,1}^{(i)}$, $C_{0,2}^{(i)}$ and $C_{1,2}^{(i)}$ are homologous to β_3 , β_3 and $3\beta_3$ respectively. Therefore, for each stable graph Γ , the edges e satisfy

$$\sum_{e \in [Q_{i,0}, Q_{i,1}]} d_e + \sum_{e \in [Q_{i,0}, Q_{i,2}]} d_e + \sum_{e \in [Q_{i,1}, Q_{i,2}]} 3d_e = d. \quad (4.26)$$

4.6. Cases when $1 \leq d \leq 4$.

When the degree d is small, we can use Mathematica and the setups of subsections 4.4 and 4.5 to make explicite computations. We now do this for $1 \leq d \leq 4$.

When $1 \leq d \leq 4$, we have verified via Mathematica that

$$e_{d,i,j} = \frac{w_i + z_i}{dw_i w_j (w_i - z_i)^2 z_i z_j} \quad \text{and} \quad S'_{d,i,j} = \frac{(2g_i + g_j)(w_i + z_i)^3}{dw_i w_j z_i z_j}. \quad (4.27)$$

Unfortunately, we are not able to prove this formula for general d .

Also, for $1 \leq d \leq 4$, the functions $f_{d,i,0,1}$ are given by

$$f_{1,i,0,1} = \frac{w_i + z_i}{w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)z_i^2} \quad (4.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2,i,0,1} &= \frac{2w_i^2 + 7w_i z_i + 5z_i^2}{2w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)(2w_i - z_i)z_i^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}f_{1,i,0,1} + \frac{3(w_i + z_i)}{w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)(2w_i - z_i)z_i} \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{3,i,0,1} &= \frac{2(w_i + z_i)(w_i + 4z_i)}{3w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)(2w_i - z_i)z_i^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}f_{1,i,0,1} + \frac{3(w_i + z_i)}{w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)(2w_i - z_i)z_i} \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{4,i,0,1} &= \frac{2w_i^2 + 7w_i z_i + 5z_i^2}{4w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)(2w_i - z_i)z_i^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}f_{1,i,0,1} + \frac{3(w_i + z_i)}{2w_i(w_i - 2z_i)^2(w_i - z_i)(2w_i - z_i)z_i} \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Recall that if we regard $f_{d,i,0,1}$ as a function of z_i and w_i , then $f_{d,i,0,2}$ can be obtained from $f_{d,i,0,1}$ by switching z_i and w_i . So $f_{d,i,0,2}$ is known for $1 \leq d \leq 4$. Furthermore,

$$f_{1,i,1,2} = 0 \quad (4.32)$$

$$f_{2,i,1,2} = \frac{w_i + z_i}{w_i(w_i - 2z_i)(w_i - z_i)^2(2w_i - z_i)z_i} \quad (4.33)$$

$$f_{3,i,1,2} = \frac{w_i + z_i}{w_i(w_i - 2z_i)(w_i - z_i)^2(2w_i - z_i)z_i} \quad (4.34)$$

$$f_{4,i,1,2} = \frac{w_i + z_i}{2w_i(w_i - 2z_i)(w_i - z_i)^2(2w_i - z_i)z_i}. \quad (4.35)$$

Combining formulas (4.28)-(4.35) with (4.25), we conclude that

$$T'_{1,i} = \frac{-3g_i(w_i^3 - 6w_i^2z_i - 6w_iz_i^2 + z_i^3)}{w_i^2z_i^2} \quad (4.36)$$

$$T'_{2,i} = \frac{-3g_i(w_i^3 + 12w_i^2z_i + 12w_iz_i^2 + z_i^3)}{2w_i^2z_i^2} = \frac{1}{2}T'_{1,i} - \frac{27g_i(w_i + z_i)}{w_iz_i} \quad (4.37)$$

$$T'_{3,i} = \frac{-3g_i(w_i^3 + 21w_i^2z_i + 21w_iz_i^2 + z_i^3)}{3w_i^2z_i^2} = \frac{1}{3}T'_{1,i} - \frac{27g_i(w_i + z_i)}{w_iz_i} \quad (4.38)$$

$$T'_{4,i} = \frac{-3g_i(w_i^3 + 12w_i^2z_i + 12w_iz_i^2 + z_i^3)}{4w_i^2z_i^2} = \frac{1}{4}T'_{1,i} - \frac{27g_i(w_i + z_i)}{2w_iz_i}. \quad (4.39)$$

In view of formulas (4.15), (4.27) and (4.36)-(4.39), we obtain

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,1} = -81 \quad (4.40)$$

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,2} = -\frac{81}{2} + 81 = \frac{81}{2} \quad (4.41)$$

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,3} = -\frac{81}{3} + 81 = 54 \quad (4.42)$$

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{0,4} = -\frac{81}{4} + \frac{81}{2} = \frac{81}{4}. \quad (4.43)$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\ell \subset X$ be a line. Then, the 2-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(\ell)|0), \text{PD}(\mathbf{a}_{-3}(X)|0) \rangle_{0,d}$ is equal to -27 , $27/2$, 18 and $27/4$ when d is equal to 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively.*

Proof. Follows immediately from (4.12) and (4.40)-(4.43). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Beh] K. Behrend, *Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), 601-617.
- [B-F] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 45-88.
- [C-K] D. Cox, S. Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **68**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999).
- [E-S] G. Ellingsrud, S. Strømme, *On the homology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 343-352.

- [F-P] W. Fulton, R. Pandharipande, *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology*. Algebraic Geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 45-96, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **62**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997).
- [Get] E. Getzler, *Intersection theory on $\overline{M}_{1,4}$ and elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants*, J. AMS **10** (1997), 973-998.
- [Go1] L. Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 193-207.
- [Go2] L. Göttsche, *The cohomology ring of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface*, talk at the International Conference in Algebraic Geometry, Shanghai (August, 2002).
- [G-P] T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Inv. Math. **135** (1999), 487-518.
- [Gro] I. Grojnowski, *Instantons and affine algebras I: the Hilbert scheme and vertex operators*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 275-291.
- [K-M] M. Kontsevich, Y. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), 525-562.
- [Leh] M. Lehn, *Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), 157-207.
- [L-S] M. Lehn, C. Sorger, *The cup product of the Hilbert scheme for K3 surfaces*, Preprint, math.AG/0012166.
- [LiJ] J. Li, *Private communication*, 2000.
- [L-Q] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, *On 1-point Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Proceedings of 8th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference (2001). Turkish J. Math. **26** (2002), 53-68.
- [LQW1] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, W. Wang, *Vertex algebras and the cohomology ring structure of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), 105-133.
- [LQW2] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, W. Wang, *Generators for the cohomology ring of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **20** (2001), 1057-1074.
- [LQW3] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, W. Wang, *Stability of the cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. (to appear), arXiv:math.AG/0107139.
- [LQW4] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, W. Wang, *Hilbert schemes and $\mathcal{W}$ algebras*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **27** (2002), 1427-1456.
- [LQZ] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, Q. Zhang, *Curves in the Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*. Proceedings of the Conference on Hilbert Schemes, Vector Bundles and Their Interplay with Representation Theory, Columbia, Missouri (2002). Contemp. Math. (to appear).
- [LT1] J. Li, G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. A.M.S. **11** (1998), 19-174.
- [LT2] J. Li, G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of general symplectic manifolds*, Topics in symplectic 4-manifolds (Irvine, CA, 1996), First Int. Press Lect. Ser., I, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, (1998) 47-83.
- [LT3] J. Li, G. Tian, *Comparison of the algebraic and symplectic definitions of GW invariants*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 689-728.
- [Nak] H. Nakajima, *Heisenberg algebra and Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces*, Ann. Math. **145** (1997), 379-388.
- [Q-W] Z. Qin, W. Wang, *Hilbert schemes and symmetric products: a dictionary*, Contemp. Math. **310** (2002), 233-257.
- [Ru1] Y. Ruan, *Virtual neighborhoods and pseudo-holomorphic curves*, Proceedings of 6th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference. Turkish J. Math. **23** (1999), 161-231.
- [Ru2] Y. Ruan, *Cohomology ring of crepant resolutions of orbifolds*, preprint, math.AG/0108195.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: edidin@math.missouri.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HKUST, CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG

E-mail address: mawpli@ust.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: zq@math.missouri.edu